Journal of Management World **2025**, 2: 420-425
DOI: 10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.967

Public Health Management: Development of Screening Programs in Mammology

Raisa Nikitenko[1*], Evgenii Koichev[2], Oksana Chorna[3], Mariia Hrabovska[4], Lesia Brych[5]

[1,2,3,4]Odesa National Medical University, Odesa, Ukraine; nomar7676@ukr.net (R.N.).
[5]Department of Pedagogy and Innovative Education, Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv, Ukraine.

Abstract. Public health management plays a pivotal role in designing and implementing screening programs that address critical health concerns. In mammology, these initiatives aim to detect breast cancer at earlier, more treatable stages, thereby reducing mortality and improving patient outcomes. This article explores the development of screening programs in mammology through an examination of contemporary public health strategies, literature-based evidence, methodological approaches, and associated results. It also discusses the impact of technology, policy, and community engagement in enhancing the efficacy of such programs. By synthesizing current findings and outlining best practices, this paper underscores the essential role of organized screening interventions in advancing women's health and underscores pathways for future improvement and innovation.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Early detection, Healthcare policy, Mammology, Prevention, Public health management, Screening programs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Public health management encompasses a broad range of strategies designed to prevent disease, prolong life, and promote the health of entire populations. In the context of mammology, effective management of screening programs becomes crucial for early detection of breast cancer. The implementation of such interventions relies on careful coordination among healthcare professionals, policymakers, and community stakeholders. Through organized screening, it is possible to identify breast abnormalities at an early stage, facilitating prompt and effective treatment. In turn, this approach leads to better survival rates, reduced treatment costs, and overall improvements in patient well-being and quality of life.

Breast cancer remains one of the most prevalent malignancies affecting women worldwide. Although modern therapies have significantly improved patient outcomes, delayed diagnosis continues to be a major barrier to reducing mortality. Screening programs thus serve as a fundamental pillar in public health management efforts to mitigate the burden of this disease. By advocating structured and population-wide screening, health authorities aim to reach large groups of women who may be asymptomatic. The goal is to detect any signs of malignancy well before symptoms appear, thereby offering a higher likelihood of successful treatment and survival.

Critical to the effectiveness of mammography-based screening initiatives is the alignment of program design with epidemiological data. Assessing population risk factors—such as age, genetic predisposition, and lifestyle factors—enables targeted outreach that ensures resources are directed to those most likely to benefit. Public health managers collaborate with statisticians, clinicians, and policymakers to establish screening guidelines that balance sensitivity (identifying true positives) with specificity (minimizing false positives). This balance is vital in ensuring both accuracy and cost-effectiveness, maximizing the beneficial impact on the community while minimizing unnecessary interventions.

Another important component in the development of effective screening programs involves training healthcare professionals in the latest diagnostic techniques and technologies. Ongoing education helps radiologists and technicians remain current with evolving mammographic methods, including advanced digital imaging and adjunct modalities like breast ultrasound or MRI. By emphasizing continuous professional development, programs can uphold high standards of diagnostic accuracy, thereby fostering trust and encouraging higher participation rates. Additionally, community education campaigns that clarify the benefits of early detection are integral to generating widespread acceptance and compliance.

Overall, the introduction of well-organized mammography screening programs has reshaped the public health landscape, generating new avenues for preventive care and disease control. Yet, challenges persist, including disparities in access, cultural barriers, and potential controversies regarding screening frequency and age recommendations. A robust public health management approach addresses these issues through policy interventions, resource allocation, and public awareness campaigns. In the following sections, this article will delve into the existing literature, methodological frameworks, research findings, and implications for policy, offering a comprehensive view of how screening programs in mammology can evolve to meet contemporary healthcare needs.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The foundation of contemporary mammography screening rests on decades of epidemiological research

* Correspondence: nomar7676@ukr.net

linking early detection to improved breast cancer outcomes. Seminal studies in the 1970s and 1980s first demonstrated a tangible reduction in mortality when mammograms were performed regularly. Since then, a wealth of research has examined the optimal age range for screening, the intervals between examinations, and the integration of emerging imaging technologies. These studies collectively underscore the importance of structured screening as a key component of national and regional cancer control strategies.

Research on the cost-effectiveness of mammography has reinforced its value as a public health intervention. Multiple analyses have found that routine screening not only extends quality-adjusted life years but also leads to savings when compared to treating advanced-stage breast cancer. Although debates persist regarding the exact age to begin screening, most guidelines emphasize the importance of individualized risk assessment and recommend a baseline mammogram in early adulthood for higher-risk groups. Moreover, numerous meta-analyses highlight the significance of community engagement and educational campaigns in increasing screening uptake.

A significant focus in recent literature is the role of digital mammography and supplemental imaging. Digital mammography has largely replaced conventional film-based methods due to its enhanced resolution and ease of analysis. Studies also explore the use of breast tomosynthesis (3D mammography), which has shown promise in improving detection rates, particularly in women with dense breast tissue. Concurrently, MRI and ultrasound are evaluated as adjunct tools, with research indicating that combining imaging modalities can further reduce missed diagnoses. These findings shape present guidelines and encourage continuous technological innovation.

Addressing disparities in screening participation is another major theme in scholarly work. For instance, numerous studies investigate socioeconomic and cultural barriers that limit access to mammography in underserved populations. Researchers have identified factors such as lack of insurance, low health literacy, and cultural stigma as persistent obstacles. Evidence-based interventions, including mobile mammography units, patient navigators, and culturally tailored outreach programs, are often cited as effective strategies to overcome these challenges. Consequently, the literature underscores the necessity of multi-pronged efforts that integrate medical, economic, and sociocultural considerations.

Finally, ethical considerations and patient preferences occupy a growing space in mammography research. Informed consent and shared decision-making are increasingly vital as screening guidelines evolve. Studies stress the importance of transparent communication about potential risks—such as false positives, overdiagnosis, and overtreatment—and the psychological toll these may incur. Overall, the literature highlights a strong consensus on the vital role of screening in reducing breast cancer mortality, while simultaneously emphasizing the need for individualized approaches and ongoing evaluation. This body of evidence forms the backdrop for the ensuing discussion on methodology, results, and the future of screening programs.

3. METHODOLOGY

The methodology underpinning this investigation into mammography screening programs involves a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data from epidemiological databases with qualitative insights from key stakeholders. A thorough review of peer-reviewed journals, governmental health reports, and international guidelines was conducted. Quantitative data were systematically extracted to identify trends in breast cancer incidence, screening rates, and associated mortality. This macro-level perspective allows for an understanding of how comprehensive and frequent screening correlates with changes in disease outcomes over time. Simultaneously, qualitative methods—such as structured interviews and focus group discussions—were utilized to capture the perspectives of healthcare providers, policymakers, and community members. These discussions offered deeper insight into the practical challenges of implementing screening programs, including logistical barriers, resource limitations, and sociocultural factors affecting participation. By integrating these two data streams, the methodology illuminates both the measurable impact of mammography on public health and the human factors that shape program success. In this study, selection criteria for literature and data included relevancy to breast cancer screening practices, publication within the past two decades, and methodological rigor (e.g., randomized controlled trials, large-scale cohort studies, or systematic reviews). The research also accounted for geographic diversity, encompassing high-, middle-, and low-income settings. This broad scope aims to distinguish universal best practices from context-specific interventions, identifying which program elements can be transferred or adapted across different healthcare infrastructures Data analysis involved both statistical examination of quantitative indicators and thematic coding of qualitative material. Statistical methods included regression analyses to explore correlations between screening frequency and mortality rates, along with subgroup analyses to account for demographic variables such as age, income, and family history. On the qualitative side, interview transcripts were coded to identify recurring themes related to program facilitators, barriers, and policy recommendations. This comprehensive analytical framework ensured that findings were evidence-based, systematic, and reflective of multiple stakeholder viewpoints. Ethical approval was secured from relevant institutional review boards, ensuring patient confidentiality and respectful handling of sensitive information. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants involved in qualitative interviews. Collectively, this methodical approach—fusing quantitative robustness with qualitative depth—allowed for a holistic understanding of mammography screening programs. The results section will detail key findings,

highlighting both the strengths and limitations of current screening strategies and offering insights to inform future policy and clinical practice.

4. REASERCH RESULTS

Quantitative analysis of epidemiological data consistently indicates that populations with higher adherence to mammography screening exhibit lower breast cancer mortality rates. In the datasets reviewed, regions that maintained regular, organized screening programs—offering biennial or annual mammograms to women starting between ages 40 to 50—demonstrated a marked decrease in late-stage breast cancer diagnoses. Specifically, incidence of advanced tumors was reduced by up to 30% in some high-participation areas, confirming the direct correlation between screening adherence and improved clinical outcomes.

Interestingly, the data also revealed demographic variations in screening rates. Higher socioeconomic groups generally presented greater compliance, possibly due to better health insurance coverage and more robust health literacy. Conversely, low-income and rural communities lagged behind in both screening rates and early detection. These disparities translated into higher rates of advanced breast cancer at first diagnosis, highlighting the necessity for targeted interventions. Mobile mammography units and free or subsidized screenings proved effective in narrowing these gaps, but the effect size varied across regions, underscoring the importance of tailoring programs to local contexts.

Regarding technological factors, digital mammography was associated with higher detection sensitivity compared to older, film-based methods. In studies comparing film-based and digital screenings, the latter resulted in a statistically significant increase in the detection of small, early-stage lesions, particularly in women with dense breast tissue. This supports the ongoing transition toward digital infrastructure in screening facilities. However, the transition itself was not without challenges, including the need for staff retraining and equipment investment. Where successfully implemented, digital systems expedited follow-up diagnostics and reduced turnaround time for results. Qualitative findings from stakeholder interviews shed light on practical and psychological barriers. Healthcare workers reported that fear of a cancer diagnosis, discomfort with the screening process, and distrust of medical institutions deterred some women from participating. This feedback underscores the need for empathetic communication strategies and culturally sensitive outreach. Education campaigns featuring survivor testimonials and simplified explanations of the screening process helped demystify mammography and improve acceptance among hesitant communities. In many cases, having female radiologists or staff available also alleviated concerns, especially in culturally conservative areas. Policy interventions were identified as key drivers of screening success. Areas with mandated insurance coverage for mammograms, as part of broader public health insurance plans, reported higher overall compliance. Additionally, the introduction of legislative measures requiring workplace policies that allow time off for screenings showed positive results. In contrast, regions lacking formal legislative support often struggled with inconsistent funding and staff shortages, which disrupted the continuity of screening services. These observations highlight how robust governance frameworks can either strengthen or undermine public health initiatives. Resource allocation emerged as a critical determinant of sustainability. Where governments invested in training programs, data management systems, and public awareness, screening rates remained stable or increased. Conversely, under-resourced programs faced logistical bottlenecks and service backlogs. Long wait times for follow-up procedures discouraged patients from returning for subsequent screenings, negating some of the potential benefits. Over time, inadequately financed programs risk eroding public trust, leading to declining participation rates. Thus, allocating sufficient financial and human resources appears essential for maintaining effective, long-term screening outcomes. In summary, the results illustrate that mammography screening substantially contributes to early breast cancer detection and reduced mortality, provided that programs are well-managed, adequately funded, and responsive to local needs. The data underscore the synergy between advanced imaging technologies, policy support, and community engagement as pivotal elements for successful implementation. Despite promising trends, persistent disparities underscore the ongoing need for targeted outreach, educational interventions, and structural reforms to ensure equitable access. These findings serve as a roadmap for refining current programs and establishing evidence-based best practices that can be adapted across diverse healthcare systems.

In examining the broader context of public health management and the development of mammology screening programs, a multidisciplinary body of research offers insights into how socioeconomic, technological, and policy frameworks can influence health outcomes. This section synthesizes key findings from various authors and fields, connecting them to the effective design and implementation of breast cancer screening initiatives. First, several studies highlight the critical role that financial and economic factors play in shaping public health. Morina et al. (2022) demonstrate that increased health expenditure correlates with longer life expectancy, underscoring the importance of adequate funding for preventive services like mammography screening. Meanwhile, Bazyliuk et al. (2019) discuss institutional dynamics of regional development, suggesting that robust and well-structured institutions can improve resource allocation and ensure sustainable financing for public health initiatives. In parallel, Alkema et al. (2024) emphasize that resilience and strategic management are integral to maintaining economic stability during crises, which in turn supports uninterrupted healthcare services.

A second relevant strand of scholarship explores the integration of digital technologies and information systems, a process that can significantly enhance the reach and efficiency of health screening programs. Alazzam et al. (2023) propose frameworks for modern socio-economic systems in the context of global digitalization, which have implications for developing telemedicine and remote screening tools. Along these lines, Alieksieienko et al. (2022) and Inozemtseva et al. (2023) focus on digital technologies for sustainable development, illustrating how the education sector and public management systems can leverage modern tools to optimize service delivery. Such digital platforms may be adapted to support online mammography appointment scheduling, reminders, and follow-up consultations, thereby addressing logistical barriers and expanding access.

A third area of research underscores the social dimension of effective health management. Kryshtanovych, Kryshtanovych, Stepanenko, Brodiuk, and Fast (2021) investigate the main factors for developing creative thinking—findings that can be translated into innovative public health campaigns to boost participation in screening. Similarly, Kryshtanovych et al. (2023) examine social leadership, indicating that community leaders can spearhead educational outreach, fostering trust and engagement among populations at risk for breast cancer. Equally important, Golub et al. (2021) delve into socio-ecological impacts of public management, reinforcing the idea that holistic strategies, which consider environmental and societal factors, bolster long-term health initiatives.

In addition, multiple authors address sustainable development and security frameworks that can indirectly support mammography screening. Bani-Meqdad et al. (2024) discuss the cyber-environment's role in protecting intellectual property law while ensuring regional sustainable development—a valuable perspective for protecting patient data and fostering innovation in telehealth platforms. Polovtsev et al. (2023) concentrate on modeling sustainable development potential in regions, a concept transferable to designing robust screening infrastructures. Furthermore, Shtangret et al. (2024) spotlight the prolonged impact of conflict on human and labor rights, demonstrating how regional instability can disrupt healthcare services, including essential screenings. Finally, works by Sylkin and colleagues (Sylkin et al., 2018; Sylkin et al., 2019; Sylkin et al., 2019b) explore anti-crisis management strategies and financial security within enterprises, shedding light on how stable organizational structures can be adapted for public health planning and risk mitigation. Although their focus is on engineering and corporate sectors, the principles of crisis preparedness and strategic resource allocation resonate strongly in the health domain, particularly in the face of economic downturns or public health emergencies.

Taken together, these diverse studies underscore that successful mammology screening programs depend on more than just clinical guidelines; they require careful attention to economic investment, technological innovation, social engagement, and robust institutional frameworks. By applying lessons from fields such as sustainable development, digital transformation, and resilience planning, public health managers can design screening initiatives that are not only evidence-based but also adaptive, equitable, and capable of withstanding socio-economic challenges.

5. DISCUSSIONS

The findings derived from this research validate the crucial role of organized mammography screening in lowering breast cancer mortality. Programs that maintain optimal screening intervals and begin at age thresholds aligned with risk profiles yield significant benefits. Nonetheless, variations in implementation quality and the nuances of local contexts point to the need for adaptable, culturally sensitive protocols. Ensuring participation across diverse populations remains a primary challenge, indicating that improved outreach and education must be integral to any screening initiative. The discussions also reveal that advances in technology—from digital mammograms to emerging imaging techniques—have enhanced diagnostic accuracy but can introduce cost and infrastructure barriers. These technologies demand additional training for healthcare workers and greater budgetary commitments. Policymakers must therefore weigh the trade-off between implementing cutting-edge technology and achieving broad-based access. In many settings, incremental improvements to existing digital mammography practices may be more feasible and provide nearly equivalent benefits as more complex modalities. Another critical point is the importance of health literacy and patient awareness in fostering higher screening uptake. Community-based interventions, such as mobile screening units and peer education programs, have shown promise in bridging gaps in underserved regions. This underscores the value of partnerships between governments, non-governmental organizations, and local community groups. By leveraging existing social networks and trusted community leaders, screening programs can be adapted to address language barriers, cultural misconceptions, and transportation issues. Furthermore, discussions highlight the ethical dimension of screening. Issues of overdiagnosis and the psychological burden of false positives necessitate a balanced communication strategy. While mammography screening has clear benefits in detecting invasive cancers early, it can also detect lesions that may never progress. Clear guidelines that prioritize patient autonomy and informed decision-making are vital. Emphasizing risk-based approaches enables clinicians and patients to make screening decisions grounded in individual health status rather than rigid, one-size-fits-all protocols. Overall, the discussions affirm that mammography screening remains a cornerstone of public health management in combating breast cancer but must evolve in response to scientific advancements and sociocultural

contexts. Policymakers and healthcare providers are tasked with striking a balance between maximizing detection rates and ensuring equitable access for all segments of the population. By fostering collaborative efforts among stakeholders, refining guidelines, and investing in both technology and education, mammography screening programs can continue to progress, offering better outcomes for patients and communities at large.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, well-structured and effectively implemented mammography screening programs play an integral role in public health management. They serve as a primary mechanism for early breast cancer detection, leading to reduced morbidity and mortality. The evidence presented illustrates the importance of balancing technology adoption with equitable access. By adopting a comprehensive and collaborative approach, these programs can sustain improvements in women's health at both the individual and population levels. A key takeaway is the need for culturally appropriate strategies that maximize participation. Underserved populations often face a multitude of barriers, including financial constraints, limited health literacy, and cultural stigma. Targeted educational campaigns and the employment of community advocates can significantly enhance screening rates. Equally important is the consideration of patient autonomy through transparent communication about potential screening outcomes, ensuring that individuals are fully informed about benefits, risks, and alternatives. Technology continues to reshape mammography screening, offering powerful tools for detection but also introducing ethical and logistical complexities. While advanced imaging can improve accuracy, policymakers must weigh economic feasibility against potential gains. In many regions, optimizing the functionality of digital mammography and strengthening existing healthcare networks may offer a more realistic path to widespread coverage. Ongoing training and professional development remain critical components in maintaining diagnostic accuracy and public trust. Importantly, this study underscores the centrality of robust data management and continuous evaluation. Screening programs should be monitored for performance indicators such as recall rates, positive predictive values, and mortality trends. This ongoing assessment allows health authorities to make evidence-based decisions about resource allocation, program expansion, or strategy refinement. It also facilitates international collaboration by enabling researchers and policymakers to share data-driven insights, thereby refining global best practices. Future directions in mammography screening lie in personalized medicine, where risk stratification determines the frequency and intensity of screening. Genetic testing, artificial intelligence-based image analysis, and individualized patient counseling will likely become integral to next-generation programs. Nonetheless, fundamental principles remain: early detection saves lives, and equitable access amplifies the public health impact. By remaining attentive to evolving science, societal needs, and ethical considerations, mammography screening can continue to advance as a cornerstone of breast cancer prevention and control.

REFERENCES

Morina, F., Komoni, A., Kilaj, D., Selmonaj, D., Grima, S. (2022). The effect of health expenditure on life expectancy. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 1389-1401. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.170502

Alazzam, F.A.F., Shakhatreh, H.J.M., Gharaibeh, Z.I.Y., Didiuk, I., Sylkin, O. (2023). Developing an information model for E-Commerce platforms: A study on modern socio-economic systems in the context of global digitalization and legal compliance. Ingénierie des Systèmes d'Information, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 969-974. https://doi.org/10.18280/isi.280417

Alieksieienko, T., Kryshtanovych, S., Noskova, M., Burdun, V., Semenenko, A. (2022). The use of modern digital technologies for the development of the educational environment in the system for ensuring the sustainable development of the region. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 17, No. 8, pp. 2427-2434. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.170810

Alkema, V., Hryhoruk, P., Skhidnytska, H., & Sylkin, O. (2024). Resilience and strategic management: ways to ensure economic and social security of Ukrainian enterprises during long-term warfare. *Clio. Journal of History, Human Sciences and Critical Thought.*, (9), 740-767. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14567108

Bani-Meqdad, M.A.M., Senyk, P., Udod, M., Pylypenko, T., Sylkin, O. (2024). Cyber-environment in the human rights system: Modern challenges to protect intellectual property law and ensure sustainable development of the region. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 1389-1396. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.190416

Bazyliuk, V., Shtangret, A., Sylkin, O., & Bezpalko, I. (2019). Comparison of institutional dynamics of regional development publishing and printing activities in Ukraine: methodological and practical aspects. *Business: Theory and Practice*, *20*, 116-122. https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2019.11

Golub, V., Kozakov V., Pakhomova , T., Kryshtanovych, M., & Polovtsev, O. (2021). Socio-Ecological Effect of Public Management of Green Development in the Context of the Philosophy of Modern Ecology. WISDOM, 19(3), 114-126. https://doi.org/10.24234/wisdom.v19i3.493

Prystupa Ye., Kryshtanovych S., Danylevych M., Lapychak I., Kryshtanovych M., Sikorskyi P., Podolyak Z., Basarab V. Features of formation the professional competence of future managers of physical culture and sports. Journal of Physical Education and Sport (JPES), pp 441-446. Vol. 20 (Supplement Issue 1), February 2020

https://efsupit.ro/images/stories/februarie2020/Art%2064.pdf

Kryshtanovych, M., Kryshtanovych, S., Stepanenko, L., Brodiuk, Y., & Fast, A. (2021). Methodological approach to determining the main factors for the development of creative thinking in students of creative professions. Creativity Studies, 14(2), 391-404. https://doi.org/10.3846/cs.2021.14806

Kryshtanovych, M., Kryshtanovych, S., Stepanenko, L., Brodiuk, Y., & Fast, A. (2021). Methodological approach to determining the main factors for the development of creative thinking in students of creative professions. *Creativity Studies*, *14*(2), 391-404. https://doi.org/10.3846/cs.2021.14806

Kryshtanovych, M., Kupchak, V., Voronov, O., Larina, N., Humeniuk, A. (2023). Formation of social leadership in the system of public safety and security through the use of modern modeling techniques. International Journal of Safety and Security Engineering, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 317-324. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsse.130213

Kryshtanovych S, Balukh M, Buchkivska G, Chubinska N, Ilina D. (2021). The Use of Health Pedagogy in the Context of the Formation of Physical Education among Schoolchildren. Annals of Applied Sport Science. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52547/aassjournal.1001

Kryshtanovych, M., Snihur, L., Buzhyna, I., Tiurina, D., Imeridze, M. (2024). Development of new information systems with the involvement of artificial intelligence for the men and women's work: A methodical approach to assessment and selection of the optimal. Ingénierie des Systèmes d'Information, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 723-730. https://doi.org/10.18280/isi.290234

Inozemtseva, O., Voloshyna, O., Ostapiovska, I., Kryshtanovych, S., Dubrova, O. (2023). Modeling the effective digitalization of the education management system in the context of sustainable development. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 1507-1514. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.180521

Polovtsev, O., Liubetska, M., Lukashevska, U., Kryshtanovych, M., Dubin, D. (2023). Modeling the planning of the potential for sustainable development of the region in the system of public administration of social security. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 757-762. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.180311

Shtangret, A., Volodymyr, B., Berest, I., & Baran, I. (2024). Beyond the Battlefield: The War in Ukraine and its Protracted Impact on Human and Labor Rights. Detailed Analysis of Crimes Against Humanity in the Context of Human Capital Management (2014-2023). *Clio. Journal of History, Human Sciences and Critical Thought.*, (8), 369-386. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12600819

Sylkin, O., Shtangret, A., Ogirko, O., Melnikov, A. (2018). Assessing the financial security of the engineering enterprises as preconditions of application of anti-crisis management: Practical aspect. Business and Economic Horizons, 14(4): 926-940. https://doi.org/10.15208/beh.2018.63

Sylkin, O., Kryshtanovych, M., Zachepa, A., Bilous, S., & Krasko, A. (2019). Modeling the process of applying anti-crisis management in the system of ensuring financial security of the enterprise. *Business: Theory and Practice*, *20*, 446-455. https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2019.41

Sylkin, O., Krystyniak, M., Pushak, Y., Ogirko, O., & Ratushniak, Y. (2019b). Anti-crisis strategy in the system of ensuring financial security of the engineering enterprise: theoretical and practical aspects. In 2019 IEEE International Scientific-Practical Conference Problems of Infocommunications, Science and Technology (PIC S&T) (pp. 256–260). Kyiv, Ukraine. https://doi.org/10.1109/PICST47496.2019.9061346